

Date: Wed, 22 Sep 93 04:30:16 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #344
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 22 Sep 93 Volume 93 : Issue 344

Today's Topics:

 A real use for CW

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 20 Sep 93 14:41:00 -0400
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!
news.kei.com!yeshua.marcam.com!zip.eecs.umich.edu!caen!uvaarpa!pplace!
ed.lang@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: A real use for CW
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

-->Dave Bushong, Wang Laboratories, Inc. Amateur Radio Callsign KZ10
-->Project Leader, Recognition products kz1o@n0ary.#noca.ca.na
-->Internet: dbushong@wang.com ARRL VE // W5YI VE

Noticed you work at old stomping grounds for me. I worked for WANG for
about 10 yrs. Field Service in the Richmond, Va office. Spend many
weeks in Lowell and loved the weekend trips around the area. Looks like
my stock is not worth what it was a few years ago! Do you know a fella
named Dan Hodnett, he worked out of the Richmond, Va office before
moving up to Lowell.

Ed

* SLMR 2.1a #2469 * KC4YLX DX-CLUSTER TROY, VA. PPLACE!ED.LANG@VIRGINIA.EDU

Date: Tue, 21 Sep 93 13:22:30 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!lkollar@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <john.748365714@misty>, <1993Sep20.134233.12758@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
<john.748538844@misty>
Subject : Re: Neighborhood watch groups

I wrote:

>>However, if you're a repeater owner
>>and do not want a public service group on your machine, it's only common
>>courtesy (dare I say "obligation"?) to help that group find a more suitable
>>(or more accommodating) repeater.

john@anasazi.com (John R. Moore) writes:

>I don't think that a repeater owner should be any more required to help
>a group find another machine. After all, being a repeater owner doesn't
>give him some special insight into repeaters in general.

No, but chances are pretty good that repeater owners know who's running what
repeaters, and which repeaters are getting little use. That's not necessarily
a given, but IMHO any repeater operator who is interested in setting up a
repeater for more than his/her ego would try to keep in touch with other local
operators -- if for no other reason than to share info about sites/new equip-
ment/lightning damage/etc. Is that an unreasonable assumption?

>Furthermore, I don't think most neighborhood watch groups even need a
>repeater. Just how big IS a neighborhood, anyway?

Depends on where you are. My "neighborhood" is in a mostly-rural area and
covers several square miles. I'm sure you have such places in Arizona. :-)
In a suburban setting, simplex or the dual-band approach I suggested in
another post would suffice.... Which would most likely cover the majority
of cases, so you make a good point.

--

Larry Kollar, KC4WZK | I like CW, but that doesn't mean I think every ham
lkollar@nyx.cs.du.edu | should have to learn it.

"You mean you came back from the dead, to tell me I'm *odd*?"

Date: 21 Sep 1993 20:36:53 GMT
From: olivea!inews.intel.com!sousa.intel.com!jreece@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Sep7.154112.23611@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>,
<1993Sep8.173138.21542@rsg1.er.usgs.gov>, <27gosk\$b4e@hobbes.cc.uga.edu>
Reply-To : jreece@sousa.intel.com
Subject : Re: Possession & Illegal Confiscation of Amateur Radios?

In article <27gosk\$b4e@hobbes.cc.uga.edu>, mcovingt@aisun3.ai.uga.edu (Michael Covington) writes:

> Hear, hear! School administrators do not like to look ridiculous.
> Probably some well-meaning administrator has been grossly misinformed
> about a technological matter, or there is a problem you are unaware
> of. Quite likely they meant to impose a much narrower restriction but
> didn't know enough about the technology to know what exceptions to make.
> Does this school have any hams on the faculty?

I believe it has to do with the War On Drugs, due to a few drug dealers have used beepers/pagers to coordinate sales. The assumption is that any kid with such a device is a potential drug dealer, and banning radio communication devices seems to be the latest fad in the public school establishment. Note that while this is going on GTE has been running TV ads encouraging families to sign up for paging services as a way of keeping in touch.

--
John Reece "This lifeboat is full"
KD6RXL
Not an Intel spokesman

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #344
